

MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE  
CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANFORD  
SANFORD, NORTH CAROLINA

The City Council met at the Sanford Municipal Center, 225 E. Weatherspoon Street, on Tuesday, June 15, 2010, at 7:00 P.M. The following people were present:

Mayor Cornelia P. Olive  
Council Member Sam Gaskins  
Council Member Charles Taylor  
Council Member L. I. (Poly) Cohen

Mayor Pro Tem Mike Stone  
Council Member James G. Williams  
Council Member Linwood S. Mann, Sr.  
Council Member Walter H. McNeil, Jr.

City Manager Hal Hegwer  
City Attorney Susan C. Patterson  
City Clerk Bonnie D. White

Mayor Cornelia Olive called the meeting to order. Council Member James Williams delivered the invocation.

**PUBLIC COMMENT – (Exhibit A)**

James Womack, residing 1615 Boone Trail Road, spoke in general about accountability. He felt it is important to pause in light of recent elections around the country and recent videos around the world to contemplate the ramifications and consequences of our actions. He felt it was important that elected officials, in particular, take time to pause and think about the fact that council members are the elected representatives, representing the citizens of Sanford. Mr. Womack said that it is imperative that we be mindful of the needs and the best interest of our community. There are issues that come before council that elected officials are expected to deliberate and discuss and make a decision. He respects that there are going to be differences of opinions about how we should weigh in on particular issues. Those that the founding fathers found important in our country are the ones that he thinks that most citizens hold nearest and dearest to their hearts. One of those things is in the area of property interest. The founding fathers founded this country on the need to pursue life, liberty, and happiness. Your life and your liberty are unquestioned but happiness is always in debate. In cases that come before council, we are often here to deliberate those issues about property which fall in the area of wealth and happiness. He thinks there are times when we try to overreach or overstate the needs of the community and how they are best served by having government involvement in our lives. Mr. Womack stated that you will see in recent elections that there is a movement in the country that says we want less government intrusion in our lives and more freedom to pursue the happiness that we have. He asked that issues that come before council that council take pause to reflect on those issues which bear on the happiness and the general well-being of the entire public. Where it is not necessary for the government to weigh in or to be involved or to over-regulate or zone a particular interest that you withdraw from that or you allow an individual property owner to do as they wish to pursue happiness as opposed to having to have a government solution or government regulation, or government decision on what is the best of those people.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Council Member Charles Taylor requested and made the motion to table Item 9B.- Consider Appointments to Various Boards, Commissions, and Committees until Wednesday, June 30, at 1 P.M. and possibly have a special meeting of the city council before Law and Finance. He has a few people that are considering applying for a couple of the vacancies. Seconded by Council Member Sam Gaskins, the motion to table Item 9B-Consider Appointments to Various Boards, Commissions, and Committees carried in favor with a four to three vote with Council Members Walter McNeil, Jr., L. I. "Poly" Cohen, and Linwood Mann casting the dissenting votes.

Mayor Pro Tem Mike Stone made the motion to approve the amended agenda. Seconded by Council Member Taylor, the motion carried unanimously.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

Approval of City Council Special Meeting Minutes Dated April 19, 2010 – (Filed in Minute Book 74)

Approval of Law and Finance Committee Meeting Minutes Dated April 28, 2010 – (Filed in Vault)

Council Member Sam Gaskins stated there is an error on Page 8 of the Law and Finance Committee Meeting Minutes dated April 28, 2010, on the first line. Where it is written "is more like 2,000" it should be "is more like 2 per 1,000. Mayor Olive noticed a typo on the same page where it states Progress Contracting; it should be Progressive Contracting.

Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes Dated May 4, 2010 – (Filed in Minute Book 74)

Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes (Budget Workshop) - Dated May 19, 2010 – (Filed in Minute Book 74)

Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes (Budget Workshop) - Dated May 25, 2010 – (Filed in Minute Book 74)

Approval of Ordinance Amending the Annual Operating Budget of the City of Sanford FY 2009-2010 – (Exhibit B)

Approval of Ordinance Amending the Annual Operating Budget of the City of Sanford FY 2009-2010 – (Exhibit C)

Approval of Resolution In Support of the Temporary Closure of a Portion of Ramseur Street for the Purpose of a Blandonia Presbyterian Church Sponsored Event – (Contingent Upon Staff Receiving a Certificate of Liability Naming the City of Sanford as Co-Insured and Obtaining a Special Events Permit from the Sanford Police Department) - (Exhibit D)

Approval of Renewal Contract for Inmate Labor Between the City of Sanford and the North Carolina Department of Correction – (Exhibit E)

Approval of 2009 North Carolina Housing Finance Agency Single Family Rehabilitation (SFR) Program – Grant Project Amendment – (Exhibit F)

Approval of Grant Project Ordinance Amendment – Endor Iron Furnace Greenway Project – (Exhibit G)

Approval of Ordinance to Require Separate Meters for New In-Ground Irrigation Systems – (Exhibit H)

**All of the above items were discussed at the Law and Finance Committee Meeting on Wednesday, June 9, 2010.**

With the corrections made on the Law and Finance Committee Meeting Minutes Dated April 28, 2010, Council Member Sam Gaskins made a motion to approve the amended Consent Agenda. Seconded by Council Member James Williams, the motion carried unanimously.

### **SPECIAL AGENDA**

Presentation by Ulises Luviano - Sanford/Lee County Youth Council Chairman

Mayor Olive recognized Ulises Luviano. He is the chairman of the Sanford/Lee County Youth Council. He is a rising senior and hopes to attend East Carolina University to study biology after graduation.

Ulises Luviano, residing at 2718 Cemetery Road, stated that the youth council was formally recognized with an official government charter in 2007. The charter is fundamental to the development of youth voice in the community. The youth council's mission is to represent the views and needs of Sanford and Lee County youth to our local government decision makers and to help high school students gain an understanding and appreciation of how local government impacts their everyday lives. He explained the activities the youth council participated in and its accomplishments.

### **DECISIONS ON PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

- A. Petition by Roger W. Murchison & Carolyn J. Murchison - to rezone 1.39 acres +/- addressed as 1700 Westover Drive and 1603 Dogwood Acres Drive from the current Residential Single-family (R-20) Zoning District to General Commercial (C-2) Zoning District. The property is the same as depicted on Tax Map 9631.02, as Tax Parcels 9631-57-6248-00 and 9631-57-5168-00 Lee County Land Records. The property is also the same as Lots 10, 37, 38, 39 & 40 of Block A as illustrated on "Portion of Elva Bryan McIver Estate/Condor Farm" subdivision plat recorded in Plat Cabinet 2, Slide 733, Lee County Registry of Deeds. – (Exhibit I)

Assistant Community Development Director Marshall Downey explained that this item was presented in December 2009 and went to the Planning Board for consideration that night. The Planning Board voted four to one to recommend approval of the request.

- Consider Motion to Take From the Table Petition by Roger W. Murchison & Carolyn J. Murchison for Discussion - (This item was tabled at the March 16, 2010, City Council Meeting, until June 15, 2010.)

Mayor Pro Tem Mike Stone made the motion to take from the table the petition by Roger W. Murchison and Carolyn J. Murchison for discussion. Seconded by Council Member Charles Taylor, the motion carried in favor six to one with Council Member Sam Gaskins casting the dissenting vote.

Mayor Pro Tem Stone stated that council has worked on this since December. For all parties concerned, we have tried to reach an agreement on how to proceed as we go forward. He asked what is council's desire regarding conditional zoning because he has been asked this question by Mrs. Murchison.

Council Member Sam Gaskins stated that it is their understanding that conditional zoning is not allowed unless they have elevations presented. In order to present elevations, you have to know what is going to be on that property. Conditional zoning is not available at this point in time. As far as he is aware, there are no members of council that are against C-2 zoning provided council have the elevations and be able to go with conditional zoning in order to ensure what type of industry or building would go in that area. He said that we have already indicated that council approves C-2 zoning with the elevations. This area can be marketed as potential commercial property as is. There is no need to rush into the zoning. The people from Dogwood Acres who have the petition against this have said that if the property is going to be developed in a pleasing manner, they would be willing to remove their petition which would mean you would only need a simple majority vote. That is why it has been on the table is to continue allow the property to be marketed the way it is.

Council Member Taylor asked Attorney Patterson to give council guidance on the comment about elevation and to address possibly the 3.4 conditional zoning and the UDO. Are there any provisions that allow for conditional zoning outside the realm of elevation?

Attorney Patterson replied that under the conditional zoning districts, a site specific development plan is usually required to be presented no matter whether your conditional zoning district is one that restricts the type of uses you have or states that it is going to be only one specific use of the property. Mr. Downey said that originally when the UDO was adopted, there were two forms of conditional zoning; one form did not require a site plan. It was intended to allow someone, like in this situation, to have conditional zoning with a limited use on the property but would not have a specific site plan. It was council's experience; however, that when we brought a couple of those forward, there was a lot of confusion and concern about not having a specific site plan associated with that project. Staff was instructed to amend the ordinance to eliminate that

option; so essentially all of our conditional zoning districts now require site specific plans.

Attorney Patterson stated that the statute or ordinance wants to know the location on the property of the use; the number of units; the types of supporting facilities such as parking lots, driveways, access streets, buffers, timing of development; location, extent of rights-of-way to be dedicated for public purpose, and other conditions on which the applicant would like to propose. Currently, what council has in front of them is a decision on a straight rezoning. It also has the protest petition, which triggers that any vote would have to have three-quarters of the council voting, so it would take six of seven to vote in favor of any rezoning that is before council tonight. The applicant has options. They may withdraw and resubmit at a later date a different request; they may ask to rezone it as is currently before council; they may amend their rezoning request which would take a new advertisement if it substantially changes from what is before council now; or they may ask that it be tabled. She said you have the options to approve, to deny, to table, to withdraw and repropose later to amend, or to ask for a vote.

Council Member Gaskins stated that withdrawing and bringing it back, or making changes, would incur additional costs to the petitioner. Attorney Patterson replied that both of them would because once it has been advertised, it is at the point where it would take more effort to bring another request. Mr. Gaskins clarified that losing the vote would require a one year wait before it could be rezoned. Attorney Patterson replied that for any zoning request that is denied or does not reach the super-majority to pass it, they would have to wait a year before they bring back a substantially similar request. One that would be substantially changed could be brought within a year. Mr. Downey added that conditional zoning would be allowed to be within that one year period because that would be a substantially different application.

Mr. Gaskins said that in his opinion, if council turns this rezoning down, it would be more difficult to market that property as commercial. If council tables it again, allowing it to be marketed with the indication that both council and the families in Dogwood Acres understand that it should be zoned commercial and would be amenable to that type of thing. If it is agreeable with Mrs. Murchison, he would recommend and hopefully, would table it again.

Mayor Olive asked if there was any type of time restraint they were under if it was to be tabled. Mr. Downey said that he is not aware of any.

Mr. Stone said that he would like to tell the petitioners that Council Member Sam Gaskins is right if the real estate industry finds out that it has been very controversial issue, they may shun that property. In doing so and for the best interest of that property and neighborhood, he would like to ask Mrs. Murchison if she would like for council to table this petition.

Mrs. Carolyn Murchison, residing at 1216 Greenbriar, Vass, North Carolina, replied that she would like for it to be tabled and come back at a later date.

- Consider Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Sanford, North Carolina – (Exhibit J)  
Council Member Sam Gaskins made the motion to table the rezoning request. Mayor Pro Tem Stone seconded the request. Council Member Cohen stated that this has been gone over a number of times and the property needs to be zoned commercial. However, the individuals that want it zoned commercial need to get with the neighbors and straighten the problems out. He is all for it being zoned commercial until the neighbors discussed all the problems they were having out at the site.

Council Member Taylor asked for clarification that the motion was tabled indefinitely or if there is a specific time. Mr. Gaskins replied indefinitely. Mayor Olive responded indefinitely so council can remove it from the table at any time. The vote was unanimous to table the motion indefinitely.

B. Consider Adoption of Annual Budget Ordinance for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

- Consider Motion to Take From the Table for Discussion Adoption of Annual Budget Ordinance for Fiscal Year 2010 - 2011 - (This item was tabled at the June 1, 2010, City Council Meeting.)

City Manager Hal Hegwer stated that this item was tabled at the June 1, 2010, City Council meeting and a motion needs to be made to take it from the table for discussion. Council Member James Williams made the motion to take from the table for discussion Adoption of Annual Budget Ordinance for Fiscal Year 2010 – 2011. Seconded by Council Member L. I. “Poly” Cohen, the motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Hegwer advised that a new revised budget ordinance was placed in council’s agenda along with a memo that addressed some additional changes to the budget ordinance.

Mayor Pro Tem Stone stated that we opened up a table on a vote; we had a first and second on a vote and he wants to make sure our process is going to proceed with that vote. His motion was tabled on the 1 percent property tax decrease along with funding the non-profits with the ABC money (\$75,000). He wants to know if discussion will proceed on his motion and are we going to a whole new direction.

Attorney Patterson said that as she understands his motion was tabled without a time to bring it back up and there has been no motion to bring it up off the table. The adoption of the budget was tabled until the next meeting and the budget ordinance was tabled to a time certain and it was put on the agenda to be taken from the table because it was stated that we would bring it back up on this date. There has been no motion for the property tax decrease to be brought up. Currently, in front of

council is the motion to discuss the annual budget ordinance. Mayor Olive said that if council takes a vote on the budget, that will nullify his motion. Attorney Patterson advised that there has been no motion to bring Mr. Stone's off the table.

Mr. Stone asked if she is saying that the one-cent property tax reduction and funding the non-profits would not be discussed before council votes on the budget. Attorney Patterson asked Mr. Stone if he wants to bring it up. Mr. Stone said that was the plan and his understanding that council would vote on it prior to the budget and now it is getting pushed to the side.

Council Member Taylor made the motion to take from the table for discussion the one-cent property tax decrease and the funding of the non-profits. Attorney Patterson replied that she is not sure this is in order. The reason being a motion to table and a motion to recess are in order anytime after there is a primary motion before the council. Attorney Patterson asked for a five-minute recess to research whether a motion to take from the table is also the same type of dispositive motion, or do you have two substantive motions of equal value. She asked for a five-minute recess. Mayor Olive announced that council will take a five-minute recess.

The council reconvened from the recess. Mr. Taylor said that he made a motion to take from the table for discussion the one-cent property tax decrease and the funding of the non-profits and the mayor was waiting on a second. Mayor Olive asked Mr. Taylor to restate his motion. Mr. Taylor made the motion to take Mayor Pro Tem Stone's one-cent property tax and the \$75,000 earmarked for charities off the table for discussion. Seconded by Council Member Linwood Mann, the motion carried four to three in favor. Council Members Walter McNeil, L. I. "Poly" Cohen, and James Williams cast the dissenting votes. Mayor Olive asked for a show of hands for clarification.

Mayor Pro Tem Stone stated that as discussed earlier, we talked about looking at relieving a one-cent property tax and with the ABC funds of \$75,000 going to the non-profits. We talked about our community and the hefty fund balance of over \$10 million; and about our community suffering and what the citizens have been through over the last several years. We have a motion and a second for a vote on this item. He wanted to remind everyone that the city has done tremendously well; it is our citizens that are really hurting and we need to understand the pain they are going through. He said a lot of people will say that \$10 to \$15 will not help. Mr. Stone said that no one on this board is hurting but the citizens are hurting and the extra \$10 means medicine to people in the community. We have been gracious to pass every incentive that has come before this council for companies. He continued that this is a one time opportunity to say thank you to the citizens and understand what they are going through. We want to give back to them. Mr. Stone said that Mr. Gaskins showed where \$600,000 to \$700,000 of expenditures that could be cut out easily. He said two years ago that he could cut these budget five-cents and not hesitate. He is asking for a one-cent tax reduction and in exchange, he is willing to give the

\$75,000 from the ABC money to our non-profits to help. He said this way everyone gets something; it is not pretty, but we are all able to achieve something.

Council Member Taylor said that it is time to give back. The only problem he has with Mr. Stone's motion is that he thinks it should be a two-cent reduction. We have managed to put \$10 million in reserve. We are talking about returning 2 percent of the \$10 million. He stated that a known fact is that 25 percent of all Lee County residents have at some point benefited from Christian United Outreach Center (CUOC); that is one out of every four citizens in our community. It is time that council looks at who they are serving. Every day he gets a call from someone that has a need, whether it is a dog barking in the middle of the night to not knowing where their next meal is going to be.

Council Member Cohen said that one cent represents the money we should be spending to fix our roads and not let them get behind. We will never catch up when we get behind. We are a great city and we want to keep it this way. There are a lot of other projects that we can use this money for in the city to help the people to make everything better. Mr. Cohen said that the incentives that are given to industries; they have to come to Sanford first, put the equipment in, the city collects the taxes, and then we give the industry half of the taxes back and all the people they employ they have a job.

Council Member Taylor said that he would like to correct Mr. Cohen that the city does not give incentives to people that come here; they are already here many times. It is not just a manner of somebody coming here first; a lot of times these incentives are given to people that are already here that are looking at additional services or adding capacity. He said to find one of these incentives that council gave to a company that actually was a stipulation of them relocating here.

Mr. Stone said that he wants to explain his motion so that the public will know why he did the motion he did combining it as one because he knew the sentiment of this council was to help the non-profits and he thinks the non-profits need help. He said no one hurts more than our citizens so he put them together so he could make sure he could remind you, if you help one, you need to help them all. The real interesting question is who will help the non-profits and not help the citizens, who are in greater demand than anyone. Unemployment has reached 14.5 percent; one of the highest for a city our size in the state and fifth in the nation, so we do not have any bragging rights. He said that as council proceeds, let's remind ourselves who the real non-profits are in this community.

Mayor Olive asked Mr. Stone to restate his motion. Mr. Stone made the motion to take a one-cent property tax decrease for all citizens of Sanford and take the \$75,000 from the ABC Store and give a one-time allotment to the non-profits until we come up with a policy. Council Member Taylor seconded the motion.

Council Member Gaskins said that he originally thought it would be a wise idea also to reduce taxes by one-cent. He has been influenced by the fact that we are moving over \$970,000 to pay off outstanding debts for the city. This will allow over \$200,000 for the next three years reduction in what the city will be paying out and is certainly an excellent opportunity for the future to reduce taxes but this particular year, he is not sure that this is the time to do it.

Mr. Stone replied that he thought the one-cent tax should come completely out of the budget. For example, training in 1999 went from \$99,000 to \$149,000 – that is \$50,000; he could go on and on whether you want \$206,000 or \$806,000 and it will not affect services at all. It comes down to one question; who can spend their money better – the taxpayers or government. There has never been a more prudent time in Lee County to lower taxes than today.

City Manager Hal Hegwer said that if Mr. Stone's motion passes, staff will need to know where council would like for the money to come from because we will have to balance the revenues and expenditures because it would be a \$200,000 plus decrease in the revenues.

The motion failed 5 to 2 to take a one-cent property tax decrease for all citizens of Sanford and take the \$75,000 from the ABC Store and give a one-time allotment to the non-profits until we come up with a policy. Council Members Walter McNeil, Linwood Mann, L. I. "Poly" Cohen, James Williams, Sam Gaskins voted against the motion. Council Members Charles Taylor and Mike Stone voted in favor. Mayor Olive clarified the vote by a show of hands.

Consider Adoption of Annual Budget Ordinance for Fiscal Year 2010 – 2011 - (Exhibit K)

Council Member Walter McNeil, Jr., made the motion to adopt the Annual Budget Ordinance for Fiscal Year 2010-2011. Council Member James Williams seconded the budget. Council Member Sam Gaskins said that he had a problem. He noticed that we have added things to the budget upon the request of council and mayor. There has not been a problem when we are concerned about things that were not being taken care of. Mr. Gaskins said that, however, on several occasions he has expressed concern about the size of the increases for a number of expenditures: the 2009 actual for employee training went from \$99,000 to \$149,000; the 2009 actual cost of advertising, excluding the police and fire departments, went from \$24,000 to budgeted over \$50,000; and again these are the same line items that he discussed on numerous occasions and we have made no effort to cut expenditures. He applauds the efforts to pay off loans, which will be excellent for the cash flow for the city having those paid off and will lend the opportunity to return money to the taxpayers. But, with no efforts to reduce expenditures, he moved that the 2.5 percent increase to city employees not be given to elected officials or any employees making in excess of \$50,000 because if we are not going to work to hold expenses in line then none of us deserve an increase in pay. Mayor Pro Tem Stone seconded the motion. Mr. McNeil advised that there is a motion and a second on the floor. Mayor Olive

replied yes we do. Mr. Mann made the motion to take a vote. Mr. Taylor said we are in still in discussion. Mayor Olive stated that we have a substitute motion. Mayor Olive asked Attorney Patterson in what order do we take these because we have a substitute motion on the floor. Attorney Patterson replied to hold on, she needed to catch up to you. Council Member Gaskins stated that it is a motion to amend. Attorney Patterson stated that a motion to amend has to be approved by the person who requested the main motion; so Mr. McNeil would have to accept the amendment. Mr. McNeil replied no. Attorney Patterson added that if it is to amend, it does not work.

Mayor Olive stated that we have a motion and a second to adopt the 2010-2011 annual operating budget. The motion passed in favor to adopt the budget with a four to three vote. Council Members Walter McNeil, Jr., L. I. "Poly" Cohen, Linwood Mann, and James Williams voted in favor. Council Members Sam Gaskins, Mike Stone, and Charles Taylor cast the dissenting votes.

Council Member Taylor stated that he had requested to speak during the discussion phase. He wanted to point out that Council Member Cohen had mentioned about the \$200,000 that could be used for paving, but yet he did not hear any discussion about him wanting to increase that in the budget or if that was so important. He is concerned about how we just padded our budget by \$200,000. This is what he was talking about, coming up with something outside the box, rather than just the standard rhetoric of "we do not want to lower our taxes;" "we don't want to help other people out." Mr. Taylor said that he would like to have seen Mr. Cohen's ideas on increasing the budget if it is that important to you he never heard him discuss increasing the budget on that and he would like to hear discussion on that. He said if we are going to make a point to say that we are worried about our roads, we also need to make a point to address it and with that, you approved a small amount of money to take care of the roads tonight.

Council Member Cohen replied, "Mr. Taylor, I do not criticize you on what you say, so don't criticize me. Mr. Taylor replied, "No, I am just asking for feedback and he did not get it." Mayor Olive apologized to Mr. Taylor that she failed to hear him when he asked to speak during the discussion period.

## **REGULAR AGENDA**

### **Discussion of 4<sup>th</sup> of July Parade**

Downtown Manager David Montgomery explained that he has been working with Downtown Sanford, the Chamber of Commerce, and the Railroad House, and they have been working on their 4<sup>th</sup> of July event. This is the third year they have tried to have this event. It will be held on Saturday, July 3. They felt they would get more participation because people want to see fireworks. The parade portion will be the first portion of the event scheduled around 4 P.M. The parade is proposed to start at Green Street, going south on Steele Street, taking a left on Gordon Street, and going south on Moore Street. They will do a rolling block type effort so we are not closing off the street for parking. There will be kids' activities and a band, etc.

Last year they had 40 to 50 participants and hope it will grow this year. He has emailed the downtown merchants that may be affected and have not heard a response from them.

Consider Appointments to Various Boards, Commissions, and Committees – (Exhibit L)

This item was tabled until Wednesday, June 30, 2010, at 1 P.M., in the Council Chambers. A special meeting of the City Council will be held at 1 P.M. for this purpose. The Law and Finance Committee meeting will be held immediately following the council meeting.

**OTHER BUSINESS**

Mayor Pro Tem Stone thanked Attorney Patterson for her due diligence during the break to resolve an issue that was very important and he thought it was important to bring the issue back to Council. He thanked all the council members on the budget process. They agree and disagree but it is a lot of hard work. He thanked staff also on their hard work and reminded the public that everyone's good is intended on the council whether they agree or disagree.

Council Member Charles Taylor said that he hoped Council will look within the respective communities and come up with some recruitment for the positions on the Boards and Commissions. He complimented the Police Department on the drug bust this afternoon on Hawkins Avenue.

Mayor Olive updated Council that she spoke to the Board of the Railroad House to see if they would be willing to let us use the Railroad House as a Visitor's Center or Welcome Center for Sanford. The Board unanimously voted for the City to do that. The object is to have a free place that we can have people go and get some literature about where to eat, sleep, and places to go. She hopes a map can be put together for individuals to use. The next step is to start recruiting some volunteers to come and man the Railroad House and serve not only as a welcome person but also as a docent for the Railroad House Museum.

**ALL EXHIBITS CONTAINED HEREIN ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND MADE A PART OF THESE MINUTES.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

With no further business to come before the council, the meeting was adjourned on motion of Council Member Linwood Mann; seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Mike Stone, the motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

---

CORNELIA P. OLIVE, MAYOR

ATTEST:

---

BONNIE D. WHITE, CITY CLERK

