

MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE  
CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANFORD  
SANFORD, NORTH CAROLINA

The City Council met at the Sanford Municipal Center, 225 E. Weatherspoon Street, on Tuesday, September 4, 2007, at 1:00 P.M. The following people were present:

Mayor Cornelia P. Olive	Council Member Linwood S. Mann, Sr.
Mayor Pro Tem Walter H. McNeil, Jr.	Council Member James G. Williams
Council Member Joseph Martin	Council Member Steve Brewer
Council Member Mike Stone	City Attorney Susan C. Patterson
City Manager Hal Hegwer	
City Clerk Bonnie D. White	

Absent:

Council Member Dan Harrington

Mayor Olive called the meeting to order. Council Member Joseph Martin delivered the invocation.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

On motion of Council Member Mike Stone, seconded by Council Member Joseph Martin, the agenda was approved.

**CASE FOR PUBLIC HEARING**

Consider Voluntary Non-Contiguous Annexation Petition by Chatham Partners, LLC; Polk-Sullivan, LLC; Chatham II, LLC; Lewis L. Riddle and Nelsie C. Riddle; and Frances J. Thomas and Theodore L. Thomas of Approximately 491.26 Acres of Property Located South of the Deep River East of 15/501 and North of Deep River Road.

Planner I Elizabeth Whitmore explained that this annexation petition was received on July 10, 2007, for 491.26 acres for non-contiguous annexation. The property is located south of the Deep River, east of U. S. 15-501, and north of Deep River Road. On August 21, 2007, the City adopted a Resolution Directing the Clerk to Investigate the Certificate of Sufficiency and a resolution setting the date of the public hearing for today. Notice of the public hearing was published in the *Sanford Herald* on August 22, 2007. City staff including police, fire, public works, engineering, and the finance departments were asked for any comments or concerns about the proposed annexation. The North Carolina General Statute 160A-58.2A requires the City to pay annually a proposed share of any payments due on any debt including principal and interest relating to facilities or equipment of the rural fire department if the debt was existing at the time of submission of the petition for annexation into the city. The site is located in the Deep River Rural Fire Department area. The assessed value of the area to be annexed is \$1,663,100 and the total assessed valuation according to the Lee County Tax Office for the Deep River Fire Department is \$219,465,833. She spoke with the Fire Chief Larry Kelly on several occasions, and their total debt is \$208,329.49 with an annual debt payment of \$42,000. When you figure the calculations which would be the total debt payment multiplied by the area to be annexed,

divided by the value of the district, the City's annual debt payment would be \$318.27. A mixed-use development is being proposed for this area. The tax revenue on the property as undeveloped would be \$9,147.05; upon development, the tax value will increase. Ms. Whitmore advised that there is a rezoning pending today to a conditional zoning district. She referred to the proper departments to address their concerns – the Fire Department, the Police Department, and the Public Works Department.

Fire Chief Wayne Barber advised that the City currently services the areas that are in the City now with two contracts with the volunteer departments - Northview Fire Department and Deep River Fire Department. With the addition of this annexation, staff will renegotiate these contracts and as the area is developed, they will look at the possibility of a station location. City Engineer Vic Czar stated that they are currently working with the engineers on the plans for the development and there is capacity at the water and wastewater plants to handle the new development. Ms. Whitmore stated that she did not see anyone from the Police Department present; however, police service is already being provided to the area that is annexed. Council Member Mike Stone asked if the City has any control where the sewer lines run. Mr. Czar replied somewhat; the sewer lines will follow gravity so they will follow the low spots but we do have some say.

Mayor Olive called the meeting to order.

No one spoke in favor.

Skip London spoke in opposition. He presented Council with a letter (Exhibit A) listing his objections. His objections primarily grow out of the rezoning and the density that is proposed. The density proposed is three to four units per acre. This is significantly higher than other developments in the area. He stated that the problem with having so many homes on so relatively little land is that you will strain a number of resources without getting the revenues from taxes that are necessary to offset those expenses. The developer points to expensive homes in nice developments in Chatham and Wake Counties, but those are not on smaller than quarter-acre lots. Mr. London expressed that he has served on the Durham Council in the past, and in the 1991 to 1995 time frame, the rule of thumb was for residential development to pay for itself, and to do this, the house had to be worth \$300,000. He said he did not know that anyone objects to a development being there. Council has the opportunity now to ensure that that happens and that you do not get something that results in the developer getting approval and turning it over to someone who will not do a good job with it.

Raymond Johnson spoke in opposition. He expressed that he has lived there all of his life and if he wanted to live in Sanford he would have. Mr. Johnson felt they did not need all of the traffic.

Eugene Barufkin, residing at 376 Kittery Point, spoke in opposition. His concern is the rise in the number of children that could be added to the school system. He questioned if the developer is setting aside land to build at least another elementary school. Mr. Barufkin said this development could easily add 2,800 children to the school system. If only half the homes have two children each in the school system, that would total 2,800 children. He said it is a

very expensive burden for the rest of the county. He stated that he is pleading for the audience here to attend the Lee County Commissioner's meeting this afternoon and plead for the transfer tax, so at least there would be a direct impact on the development into the treasury for the county that has to provide for the burden.

Hubert Garner, residing at 319 Rod Sullivan Road, spoke neutrally. He had several questions he would like to hear answered. He asked if City taxes have been paid on the property since 1999. Staff replied yes. He asked if the property is rezoned and annexed, could City Council decide to extend the ETJ area to include his property and others who may not have a vested interest in it at this point. Attorney Patterson replied that the ETJ zoning jurisdiction does not apply to any satellite annexation. There will be no ETJ around this parcel. Mr. Garner said he never heard if there were any definite commitments to dedicate some land for schools, fire departments, or EMS. Community Development Director Bob Bridwell replied that taxes have been applied as part of the City and will be based on the value of raw land. Mr. Bridwell stated that the developer has met with the City's Fire Department and School Superintendent. The developers have offered land for a fire station, and they have spoken with the school superintendent about possible school sites. The fire department is not sure whether a piece of land in that site would be the best place to serve for both of those services. Mr. Garner stated that when he served as a county commissioner for eight years, he tried to be guided by one major principle; if it is passed, is it the best for the most people of Lee County over the longest period of time.

Lee County Commissioner Jerry Lemmond stated that he is not in favor or against but he is leaning to not having enough answers to some of the questions that were asked at earlier meetings. He inquired about the school situation. Mr. Lemmond stated that with that kind of explosive growth, where will schools be situated? How many children are they looking at serving? He would like to know if the developers have done any impact studies so far as the water and sewer; he had concerns for the county and the city.

Council Member Stone stated that one question that has not been answered that he tried to find out is about the policing. Mr. Stone added that he noticed today a police representative is not present. He wanted to know how the City would be able to police it for safety reasons and for crime. It is a concern because there have been two meetings that we have not had anyone to comment on actual policing. City Manager Hegwer stated that the Police Department has been in many of the discussions in the Technical Review Committee and typically, our response is that this project has been on the books since 1999. It is a reactionary type response. As the area grows, more officers would be needed. There is no one living there now, and it will take over a year for the infrastructure to be installed. It would 2009 before homes are built and now we do not know what we will be faced with. Mr. Stone replied that he has driven to the area and it is over six miles outside the city. He asked if we are currently serving any other property six miles outside the city limits with police. City Manager Hegwer replied he did not think so.

Tommy Bridges, residing at 522 Womble Road, asked if any consideration been given to what effect development would have on the river. There are many people who are concerned about the river. Mr. Bridwell responded that a greenway plan was adopted for that area. There are two greenways – one is through Little Buffalo Creek and the Deep River. The Planning

Department has a deep concern for the greenways and consideration is given to the greenways when plans are reviewed. It is important to staff and the Triangle Land Conservancy (which is a partner) on protecting the quality of the river.

Richard Wulpern, residing at 4619 Hawkins Avenue, stated that he is not necessarily for it or against it; his concerns were that it is done right. He added that there are two ways that pollution can get into the river. One is through a sewer malfunction such as a bad lift station, a clog, etc.; the second way is through a runoff. The biggest areas that will contribute to runoff are parking lots, roads, and any place that chemicals are applied to the ground such as lawns. In developing this area at such a high density with such valuable homes, the homeowners will be applying something to the ground. He expressed that even if the sewer system works 100 percent, you will still have chemicals getting into the river because it is not a perfect system. If the lift station fails, it will leak 250,000 gallons. The premise behind the lift station is technology. He is an engineer and technology fails. Mr. Wulpern stated that you have to have backups for the backups. One of those backups should not count on technology because generators fail. He said if we could design a method that does not incorporate technology and incorporates a natural method, it is safe. If this cannot be designed, you need to get new engineers.

The public hearing was closed.

- Consider Ordinance to Extend Corporate Limits of the City of Sanford – (Exhibit B)

Council Member Brewer stated that the build-out on this property is a fourteen year build-out for 2,800 homes. The total build-out in today's dollars at the city's current tax rate is \$3.5 million in revenues to the City of Sanford and \$4.5 million to Lee County provided the homes' tax value is \$250,000 each. His estimates figure at the total build-out at cost to the City with two police beats, fire department, trash, water and sewer separately because it pays for itself, would be estimated under \$2 million. He added that he is not here to make money, but a quality development done right in the end will be an asset to the City instead of a detriment. They have spoken with the school system and it is a conditional use district; each time a phase is proposed it has to come before the Council for approval. The conditional use zoning is the most restrictive use of zoning the city has. With R-20 zoning, as it is now, you can build whatever you want as long as you put one home on a half-acre of land. This is a way for the City to monitor and make sure that what gets built there is indeed what the citizens of the City do want. He did not want the citizens to think that the City does not look long and hard at environmental issues because we do not want anything to happen to the Deep River.

Council Member Joseph Martin made the motion to adopt the Ordinance to Extend Corporate Limits of the City of Sanford. Council Member Steve Brewer seconded the motion.

Council Member Martin said in support of Mr. Brewer's comments, one of the things that Council implemented last year is conditional use. In the past, a developer would tell his intent and make you feel good about what is being proposed and then the property would be sold. Once that property was rezoned, they could do whatever they

wanted to do as long as it was allowed under that designated zoning district. With the new conditional use, whatever this council sets as policy and these gentlemen say they are going to do, that is what the votes states and if they turn around and sell this property, whoever buys this property is still mandated to do exactly what was approved. This is a safe gate for Council so they know what they are getting. Mr. Martin stated that for a number of years, this Council has had a lot of concern about growth, through impact fees or whatever the case. The City cannot do that; it has to come through the County. Mr. Martin stated that we have tried for years and years to get the County to talk about something that we can introduce to help subsidize the schools in some way. Mr. Martin added that for people that are going to sell property like this is that his intent is to try to do the best they can for all the properties that are going to be sold like this.

Council Member Williams thanked all the people who have spoken for and against this petition for their input. He said there is a lot of development coming forth and they want the best product they can get.

Council Member Stone commented that the plats will come back before Council for approval, and then it can be discussed what each section wants - what's on the river, what is not, and the voices from Deep River can be heard again.

Council Member Mann stated that he has been listening to all the conversations, but nobody has mentioned the effect that this is going to have on the quality of life for everybody in Lee County and the surrounding area. He has weighed the good against the bad, and the bad is coming up first. He could not see how it would have any good effect on the lives of the people; it will help the developers to make money, but as far as improving the quality of life, it will change it forever.

The vote was five to one to approve the ordinance with Council Member Mann casting the dissenting vote against the ordinance.

### **DECISIONS ON PUBLIC HEARINGS**

Petition by Jerry Turner and Associates – to rezone 1,132.8 acres from the Current City of Sanford PUD Planned Unit Development, C-2 General Commercial, and Lee County RA Residential Agricultural to a conditional zoning district to be known as the Deep River conditional zoning district and generally located north of Deep River Road, east of US 15-501. The conditional zoning district will include a mix of residential dwelling styles (single-family and multi-family) as well as areas for commercial development. The property is the same as depicted on Tax Maps 9646-33-9368-00, 9656-03-5936-00, 9656-13-3634-00, 9646-65-8793-00, 9646-83-3550-00, 9656-36-5342-00, 9656-26-4683-00, 9656-06-2456-00, 9646-98-4984-00, and part of 9656-19-1672-00, Lee County Land Records Office – (Exhibit C)

Assistant Community Development Director Marshall Downey advised that this is a recommendation from the Planning Board regarding the zoning decision for the aforementioned discussion on the area that was annexed as well as the original 630 acres that were annexed in 1999. Mr. Downey explained the term conditional zoning for the public for clarity. He stated

that a public hearing was held on August 21, 2007, and the Planning Board recommended unanimously to approve the petition.

- Consider Adoption of Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Sanford, North Carolina – (Exhibit D)

Council Member Steve Brewer made the motion to adopt the Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Sanford, North Carolina. Mayor Pro Tem McNeil seconded the motion. City Attorney Susan Patterson advised that a few citizens attempted to submit a protest petition for this rezoning but it was submitted several days after the public hearing and thus it did not meet the statutory requirements for a protest petition and could not be accepted by the City. The motion carried five to one in favor of the ordinance with Council Member Linwood Mann casting the dissenting vote.

Petition by Roy Harrington and W. Frank Lee – to rezone from RA Residential Agricultural district to R-20 Residential Single-Family district 13.51 ± acres of vacant land within the 1800 block of Broadway Road. The property is the same as depicted on Tax Map 9672.03, a portion of Tax Parcel 9672-12-4540-00 Lee County Land Records Office – (Exhibit E)

Assistant Community Development Director Marshall Downey advised that this is a recommendation from the Planning Board regarding a petition for rezoning. A public hearing was held on August 21, 2007, and the Planning Board recommended unanimously to approve this petition.

- Consider Adoption of Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Sanford, North Carolina – (Exhibit F)

Council Member Mike Stone made the motion to adopt the Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Sanford, North Carolina. Seconded by Council Member Joseph Martin, the motion carried unanimously.

## **REGULAR AGENDA**

Consider Resolution Authorizing the Advertisement of a Public Auction and an Electronic Auction to Sell Certain Personal Property of the City of Sanford – (Exhibit G)

Shop Superintendent Randy Paschal stated that this resolution sets a date of September 29, 2007, at 9 A.M., at the Public Works Service Center, for a public auction and an electronic auction. He stated that they will sell all the vehicles and property at auction and anything that does not sell, they will move it to the on-line auction. There will be a reserve on some of the vehicles and larger items.

Council Member Steve Brewer made the motion to adopt the Resolution Authorizing the Advertisement of a Public Auction and an Electronic Auction to Sell Certain Personal Property of the City of Sanford. Seconded by Council Member James Williams, the motion carried unanimously.

Consider Authorization To Purchase, Without Bidding, a Pro Patch Pothole Patcher from Public Works Equipment and Supply, Inc. – (Exhibit H)

Street Superintendent Magda Holloway explained she is requesting authorization to approve awarding a contract for a Pro Patch Pothole Patcher without bidding to Public Works Equipment and Supply, Inc. She stated that we have a piggyback that we could go off of from the Town of Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina, for a total price of \$130,805. This Pro Patch Pothole Patcher is very similar to the pothole patcher the City has now; it is just a more modern piece of equipment. It has been preapproved in the budget for this fiscal year. Procedures have been followed to properly advertise in the paper. Mrs. Holloway stated that this year we were able to eliminate a \$6,000 cost if we are able to go to the new pothole patcher, because the heat transfer oil has been eliminated from this unit which has to be taken out and replaced each year at an estimated cost of \$6,000. She advised that if we are able to put in for this particular pothole patcher, we will also save \$10,000 for a 2007 engine versus 2008 which would require additional emissions testing.

Council Member Stone commented that he respectfully understood the decision of urgency; however, it is \$130,000 of taxpayer's money and he did not have the right to tell you to buy that. A normal procedure is to take bids. He received several calls regarding this issue. Several council members expressed their views regarding this issue.

Council Member Mann made the motion to purchase, without bidding, a Pro Patch Pothole Patcher from Public Works Equipment and Supply, Inc., piggybacking off of the Town of Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina, in the amount of \$130,805. Seconded by Council Member Steve Brewer, the motion carried five to one in favor with Council Member Stone casting the dissenting vote.

Consideration of a Preliminary Plat for Phase 1 of Barrington Park Residential Subdivision. Phase 1 is 44.3 ± acres, to be developed with 86 total residential lots, a recreation area, and a park located off of Commerce Drive. Developer: Castle Ridge Development, LLC – (Exhibit I)

Assistant Community Development Director Marshall Downey explained that this is preliminary plat for Phase 1 of Barrington Park Residential Subdivision. This was previously part of South Park Business Park located off of Highway 87. The name has changed to Barrington Park. The request is for Phase 1. As Council recalls, this was a conditional zoning project which has several tracts in it, and the developers were going to bring them back to Council individually for approval. Mr. Downey referred to the site plan of Phase 1 in their packets.

Mr. Downey advised that there are portions of four different residential tracts included in Phase 1. Tract A has five home lots (15,000 sq. feet lots); Tract B has 21 home lots (10,875 sq. feet lots); Tract C has 30 home lots (15,000 sq. feet lots); and Tract D has 27 patio homes (8,125 sq. feet lots). The plat includes open space in Tracts I and H; however, the active recreation elements (pool, playground) are requested to be built in the next phase because they feel like there would not be a need for the recreation elements until Phase 1 is completed and people are living there. Phase 1 includes a portion of open space Tract G, which is linear, passive area that serves to protect environmentally sensitive wetland areas within the project.

Mr. Downey explained the design elements of the homes in Phase 1. Mr. Williams asked what kind of time table they have for Phase 1 from start to finish. Jason Womble with

Castle Ridge Development stated that they will be ready to deliver any lots to builders until March 2008. Time frame will probably be two years from start to finish.

Council Member Brewer asked if they could take Tract H where the park is and construct it because if the economic conditions go south, they may not build Phase 2. Mr. Womble replied that from their financial standpoint, they have to build Phase 2. They will have a lot of money in Phase 1; they cannot recoup anything out of Phase 1 without doing Phase 2. For them to improve the entry features, they will not come close to getting back what they need to out of Phase 1. Mr. Brewer stated if it takes two years on Phase 1 and two years on Phase 2, it will be four years without any green space. Mr. Womble replied that what they are hoping for is the fact that by doing a recreational area, it will speed up the absorption in Phase 2. One of the biggest reasons for not doing it in Phase 1 other than just the cost standpoint, there is no one in the first twelve months to enjoy it. It is basically just a feature that you can sell that no one is able to use it. By constructing it in Phase 2, there are existing homeowners and people to enjoy the amenity. Mr. Brewer asked if they can get Tract H. Mr. Womble replied that they can look at it and there is no intention of them abandoning the project. Mr. Brewer advised that they are going to hold people to the fire on what they tell us they are going to build. Mr. Womble stated that with some recommendation they will be glad to look at it. Mr. Brewer stated that Tract H where they are going to build a playground and green space, it does not seem like it would be real hard. Mr. Womble replied that something like that, they could definitely look at.

Mr. Downey clarified that the lots with larger 15,000 square feet lots would be required to have a crawl-space foundation. The small 10,000 square foot lots and patio homes would be the option for the crawl space versus the slab.

Council Member Joseph Brewer made the motion to approve the preliminary plat for Phase 1 of Barrington Park. Council Member Mike Stone seconded the motion. Council Member Brewer asked if they can amend the motion to include there would be some type of recreational outlet in Parcel H constructed within a reasonable time frame at the build out of Phase 1. Mr. Martin accepted the amendment. Mayor Pro Tem McNeil seconded the amended motion and it passed unanimously.

Consider Resolution Directing Clerk to Investigate Petition for a Non-contiguous Annexation by Stevens Center and Jane O Stevens Trust of 15.14 Acres on the East Side of Kelly Drive Including the Right-of-Way of Kelly Drive Approximately 2,354 Linear Feet North of the Intersection of Kelly Drive and Winslow Drive – (Exhibit J)

Planner I Elizabeth Whitmore explained that this came before Council approximately a year ago at a work session where the Stevens Foundation came forward and expressed their desire to be annexed into the City to receive police, fire, and trash services. At that time, Council was very receptive to the idea.

Ms. Whitmore advised that the annexation is for 15.14 acres and is located on the east side of Kelly Drive and it does include the right-of-way of Kelly Drive, in front of the property. The resolution directs the city clerk to investigate the petition. Council Member Williams explained that this is a non-profit organization. Council Member Mann asked if they are annexed, if the City has to provide sewer to them. Council Member Brewer stated that the

Stevens Foundation would like to have City fire and police services. City Engineer Vic Czar stated that if you annex them, you have to provide sewer in the same manner that you would to areas within the city limits, which is under the petition assessment. The Stevens Foundation would have to pursue sewer under the petition assessment process. Attorney Patterson added that since Stevens Foundation is a business, they have to pay for their own solid waste service.

Council Member Brewer made the motion to direct the clerk to investigate the petition. Seconded by Mayor Pro Tem McNeil, the motion carried unanimously.

Consider Resolution Directing Clerk to Investigate Petition for a Contiguous annexation by Donald R. and Barbara Simpson and Donald R. Simpson Surviving Trustee U/A of December 30, 1988 F/B/O Grandchildren of Irene L. Simpson of 1.217 acres located in the Southwest Quadrant of Center Church Road and US 15/501 – (Exhibit K)

Planner I Elizabeth Whitmore explained that this site is located in the southwest quadrant of Center Church Road and U. S. Highway 1-15-501. It encompasses 1.217 acres. This resolution directs the clerk to investigate the petition.

Council Member Joseph Martin made the motion to adopt the resolution. Seconded by Council Member Linwood Mann, the motion carried unanimously.

Consider Resolution Authorizing the Advertisement of an Offer to Purchase a Vacant Lot in the Brick Capital Redevelopment Area. The bidders are Linda and Terry Bristow of Bristow Builders – (Exhibit L)

Planner II Karen Kennedy advised that Terry and Linda Bristow made an offer of \$3,300 to purchase a redevelopment lot along Church Street denoted as F2 on the redevelopment map. They have placed a deposit for the lot. They wish to build a single-family home for resale. The Bristow's are building a home on Washington Avenue for resale now.

Council Member Mike Stone made the motion to adopt the Resolution Authorizing the Advertisement of an Offer to Purchase a Vacant Lot in the Brick Capital Redevelopment Area. Seconded by Council Member Brewer, the motion carried unanimously.

Consider Resolution Authorizing Conveyance of Three City Owned Lots to Brick Capital Community Development Corporation for Construction of Affordable Housing – (Exhibit M)

Planner II Karen Kennedy explained that the resolution conveys three pieces of land to Brick Capital Community Development Corporation. This was a piece of property at the intersection of Saunders and South Vance Streets that was part of the W. B. Wicker project. The City purchased the house from the Bakers and relocated them and it left a corner piece of property. Brick Capital is making an offer to purchase the property and construct a home on it. There are three pieces of property because we are taking in two slivers of property and the actual property where the Baker's home used to be. The three pieces of property will become one tract. Attorney Patterson added that we will reserve the utilities that are located along that area.

Council Member Steve Brewer made the motion to adopt the Resolution Authorizing Conveyance of Three City Owned Lots to Brick Capital Community Development Corporation

for Construction of Affordable Housing. Seconded by Council Member Mike Stone, the motion carried unanimously.

Consider Bid Tabulation for Wicker Park Phase I Grading Revitalization Strategies Project Year 5 – (Exhibit N)

Planner II Karen Kennedy stated that about a month ago, they took bids for grading and concrete work for the Wicker Park Phase I Grading Revitalization Strategies Project Year 5. One bid came that was extremely high and they could not accept the bids because of it being a single bid. Staff revised the scope of work and rebid the project removing the concrete work for now and this bid is strictly for grading work. They received two bids, and staff recommends awarding the low bid to Oldham and Oldham, Inc. in the amount of \$29,999. To complete the project, Brick Capital will have to generate the funds.

Council Member Steve Brewer made the motion to award the bid of \$29,999 to Oldham and Oldham, Inc. Seconded by Council Member James Williams, the motion carried unanimously.

**ALL EXHIBITS CONTAINED HEREIN ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND MADE A PART OF THESE MINUTES.**

**OTHER BUSINESS**

Council Member Stone commented about the ten-day period for advertising on annexation petitions, etc. He stated that it is tough for citizens to get the information at the last minute. In the past, he has encouraged some type of e-mail or fax information for our agendas. Attorney Patterson clarified that public notice was given more than ten days before the public hearing in accordance with the North Carolina General Statutes.

Council Member Stone reiterated to the citizens who spoke on the Deep River annexation, to stay involved, as Council will make the decisions on the different phases.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Jimmy Makepeace signed up to speak; however, he was not present in the audience at the time of the public comment period.

**ADJOURNMENT**

With no further business to come before the council, the meeting was adjourned on motion of Council Member Williams; seconded by Mayor Pro Tem McNeil, the motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
CORNELIA P. OLIVE, MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
BONNIE D. WHITE, CITY CLERK

